Short Run:Reality, Theory, Policy Product Pricing, (Un)Employment and Inflation: PC to AS

(part 3/3)

T. Kam

File: 03-cycles_pc.tex Read: Mishkin, Chapter 11



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Outline of Talk

Objectives



- **Motivation**
- Model consistent with empirical regularities
- Overview: model relationships
- A Phillips Curve Model 3
- PC and MV = PY
- Summary and Looking Ahead 5
 - Mental Stickers



э

ヘロト 人間ト 人造ト 人造トー

• Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.

- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in **three** building blocks:
 - ▶ previous lecture: the IS curve
 - ▶ this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

• Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.

- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in **three** building blocks:
 - ▶ previous lecture: the IS curve
 - ▶ this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in **three** building blocks:
 - ▶ previous lecture: the IS curve
 - ▶ this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in **three** building blocks:
 - ▶ previous lecture: the IS curve
 - ▶ this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



э

・ ロ ト ・ 画 ト ・ 画 ト ・ 日 ト

- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in three building blocks:
 - previous lecture: the IS curve
 - this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in three building blocks:
 - previous lecture: the IS curve
 - this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in three building blocks:
 - previous lecture: the IS curve
 - this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日

- Using empirical observations of business-cycle data to inform the design of a simple model.
- Model as:
 - interpretive framework for observed behaviour in data
 - laboratory for studying controlled experiments: shocks and policy changes
- We study this in three building blocks:
 - previous lecture: the IS curve
 - this lecture: PC curve
 - this/next lecture: the MP curve; all together



イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日

We will see:

- How the central bank effectively sets the real interest rate in the short run, and how this rate shows up as the MP curve in our short-run model.
- That the Phillips curve describes how firms set their prices over time, pinning down the inflation rate.
- How the IS curve, the MP curve, and the Phillips curve make up our short-run model.
- How to analyze the evolution of the macroeconomy in response to changes in policy or economic shocks.



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

We will see:

- How the central bank effectively sets the real interest rate in the short run, and how this rate shows up as the MP curve in our short-run model.
- That the Phillips curve describes how firms set their prices over time, pinning down the inflation rate.
- How the IS curve, the MP curve, and the Phillips curve make up our short-run model.
- How to analyze the evolution of the macroeconomy in response to changes in policy or economic shocks.



イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日

We will see:

- How the central bank effectively sets the real interest rate in the short run, and how this rate shows up as the MP curve in our short-run model.
- That the Phillips curve describes how firms set their prices over time, pinning down the inflation rate.
- How the IS curve, the MP curve, and the Phillips curve make up our short-run model.
- How to analyze the evolution of the macroeconomy in response to changes in policy or economic shocks.



We will see:

- How the central bank effectively sets the real interest rate in the short run, and how this rate shows up as the MP curve in our short-run model.
- That the Phillips curve describes how firms set their prices over time, pinning down the inflation rate.
- How the IS curve, the MP curve, and the Phillips curve make up our short-run model.
- How to analyze the evolution of the macroeconomy in response to changes in policy or economic shocks.



Recap: Empirical Regularities and Modelling Choice



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

What do we see out there?



What do we see out there?



What do we see out there?



Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- Recessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- Expansions associated with lower unemployment
 - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- Recessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- Expansions associated with lower unemployment
 - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- Secessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- Expansions associated with lower unemployment
 - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- **③** Recessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- Expansions associated with lower unemployment
 - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- Secessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- Recessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output
- 3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment



Recap: some (short run) empirical regularities

- Observed fluctuations in economic activity (output) around some long-run trend
- Fluctuations in output appear as cycles of peaks and throughs: with irregular amplitudes and frequencies
- **③** Recessions typically associated with falls in inflation rate
 - Procyclical inflation: Positive correlation between inflation growth and short run output
- Expansions associated with lower unemployment
 - Countercyclical unemployment: Negative correlation between cyclical unemployment and short run output

人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

3 and 4 imply negative correlation between inflation (growth) and unemployment

Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- how they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - ► IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- how they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - ► IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



A D > A P > A D > A D >

Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- *how* they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- *how* they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- *how* they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - ► IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- *how* they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply

• *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Keep a watch out for these things!

Look-ahead!

Three building-block components: IS, PC and MP. Watch out for:

- what each component represents!
- *how* they come together to represent overall equilibrium requirements:
 - IS: Goods/services market
 - PC: Production-pricing of goods/services
 - MP: Money market/Money supply
- *how to use* overall IS-PC-MP to understand various experiments: shocks and policy change case studies.



Block 3/3: The PC Curve





A Phillips Curve

Recall definition of one-period inflation rate in a price level (e.g. CPI):

$$\pi_t := \frac{P_{t+1} - P_t}{P_t}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

- **Assumption (a story about firm pricing behavior):** in the short run (implicitly) firms are not perfectly competitive.
- Firms set their prices on the basis of:
 - π^e_t : their expectations of the economy-wide inflation rate; and
 - $u_t \bar{u}_t$: their demand for works (here: written in the converse).


Assumption (a story about firm pricing behavior): in the short run (implicitly) firms are not perfectly competitive.

Firms set their prices on the basis of:

- π_t^e : their expectations of the economy-wide inflation rate; and
- $u_t \bar{u}_t$: their demand for works (here: written in the converse).



A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:¹

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u,π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - \bullet given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ

 $^1 \mbox{Reduced-form:}$ meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpet $_{\mbox{\tiny A}} \odot$

A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:1

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - ▶ governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u, π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - \star given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ

 $^1 \mbox{Reduced-form:}$ meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpet $_{\mbox{\tiny AC}}$

A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:1

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - ▶ governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u, π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ.

 $^1\text{Reduced-form:}$ meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpet $_{\text{R}}$ $_{\text{C}}$

A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:1

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - ▶ governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u, π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ.

 $^1 \mbox{Reduced-form:}$ meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpet $_{\mbox{\tiny A}} \odot$

A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:1

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - ▶ governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u, π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ.

¹Reduced-form: meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpet a e

A "reduced-form" representation of firms' behaviour:¹

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- $\omega > 0$: sensitivity of actual inflation π_t to gap between current and long run unemployment rates, $\tilde{u}_t = u_t - \bar{u}_t$.
 - governs size of trade-off faced by policymaker in stabilising twin goals of \tilde{Y} (or \tilde{u}_t) and π .
- We can interpret the PC as the locus of current inflation and current unemployment rate pairs (u, π) that satisfy:
 - Firms' "optimal" product pricing decisions,
 - in conjuction with their labor hiring decisions,
 - given their beliefs about future inflation and given exogenous shifts ρ.

 $^1 \mbox{Reduced-form:}$ meaning black-box; sweep microfoundations under the carpetale

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega (u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- Given P_{t-1} , if firms expect inflation, π_t^e , to be higher from today to tomorrow, they would like to raise prices today P_t .
 - So in aggregate $\pi_t = P_t P_{t-1}$ would rise.
 - Hence positive relation between π and π^e in PC.



Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- Given P_{t-1} , if firms expect inflation, π_t^e , to be higher from today to tomorrow, they would like to raise prices today P_t .
 - So in aggregate $\pi_t = P_t P_{t-1}$ would rise.
 - Hence positive relation between π and π^e in PC.



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- Given P_{t-1} , if firms expect inflation, π_t^e , to be higher from today to tomorrow, they would like to raise prices today P_t .
 - So in aggregate $\pi_t = P_t P_{t-1}$ would rise.
 - Hence positive relation between π and π^e in PC.



Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ▶ So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0.$
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - ► To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate *P*_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): p ...

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ▶ So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0.$
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate P_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): ρ...

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ► So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0$.
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - ▶ To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate *P*_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



• Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): ρ ...

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ▶ So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0$.
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - ► To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate *P*_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



• Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): ρ

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ▶ So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0$.
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - ► To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate *P*_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



• Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): ρ

Micro storytelling

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- If firms need to produce more output Y_t in total, they demand more workers, so current employment rate can rise above its long run value.
 - ▶ So current unemployment rate falls below its long run "natural rate"—i.e. $(u_t \bar{u}_t) < 0$.
 - If firms hire more workers, its real marginal cost of producing output rises, or firm's profit margins fall.
 - ► To maintain some desirable markup of price over marginal cost, firms with have incentive to raise prices and hence aggregate *P*_t rises.
 - Therefore we have negative relation between π and u.



• Exogenous events (e.g. oil or energy price shocks): ρ ...

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :

- How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
- No definitive answer.
- Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - * how beliefs are formed;
 - * what other model assumptions to make!



3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :
 - How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
 - No definitive answer.
 - Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - how beliefs are formed;
 - * what other model assumptions to make!



3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

- Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :
 - How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
 - No definitive answer.
 - Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - how beliefs are formed;
 - * what other model assumptions to make!



3

・ ロ ト ・ 画 ト ・ 画 ト ・ 日 ト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :

- How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
- No definitive answer.
- Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - ★ how beliefs are formed;
 - ★ what other model assumptions to make!



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :

- How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
- No definitive answer.
- Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - ★ how beliefs are formed;
 - * what other model assumptions to make!



Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• Date-t Expectations/Beliefs about inflation next period, π^e_t :

- How to model this? Whose beliefs? Subjective beliefs? Rational beliefs?
- No definitive answer.
- Behind every policymaker's disgreement with each other:
 - ★ how beliefs are formed;
 - * what other model assumptions to make!



Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega (u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• π^e_t :

- How to model this sucker?
- We will assume that expectations are formed adaptively.
- We will abstract from heterogeneity in belief systems.
- So we say firms (agents) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

•
$$\pi^e_t$$
 :

How to model this sucker?

- We will assume that expectations are formed adaptively.
- We will abstract from heterogeneity in belief systems.
- So we say firms (agents) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

•
$$\pi^e_t$$
 :

- How to model this sucker?
- We will assume that expectations are formed adaptively.
- We will abstract from heterogeneity in belief systems.
- So we say firms (agents) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

•
$$\pi^e_t$$
 :

- How to model this sucker?
- We will assume that expectations are formed adaptively.
- ▶ We will abstract from heterogeneity in belief systems.
- So we say firms (agents) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• π^e_t :

- How to model this sucker?
- We will assume that expectations are formed adaptively.
- We will abstract from heterogeneity in belief systems.
- So we say firms (agents) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$



э

Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• An "adaptive expectations" model: firms (and consumers) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$

- implies firms adjust their forecasts slowly.
- expected inflation embodies sticky inflation assumption.



Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• An "adaptive expectations" model: firms (and consumers) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$

- implies firms adjust their forecasts slowly.
- expected inflation embodies sticky inflation assumption.



Whither expectations?

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

• An "adaptive expectations" model: firms (and consumers) expect next year's inflation rate to be the same as this year's inflation rate:

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1}.$$

- implies firms adjust their forecasts slowly.
- expected inflation embodies sticky inflation assumption.



...with adaptive expectations

From firm's pricing behaviour:

$$\pi_t = \pi_t^e - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$

and given model of expectation formation,

$$\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1},$$

we get a naive-expectations Phillips curve model:

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$
(PC)



э

...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$
(PC)

In words:

- PC describes how inflation evolves over time as a function of short-run output; or
- how inflation—conditional on last period's inflation—is positively related to short run unemployment gap.
- long run situation: zero fluctuations implies zero inflation change.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_t &= 0 \Longleftrightarrow \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} = \bar{\pi} \\ &\Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t = 0. \end{split}$$



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$
(PC)

In words:

- PC describes how inflation evolves over time as a function of short-run output; or
- how inflation—conditional on last period's inflation—is positively related to short run unemployment gap.
- long run situation: zero fluctuations implies zero inflation change.

$$\tilde{u}_t = 0 \iff \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} = \bar{\pi}$$
$$\implies \Delta \pi_t = 0.$$



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega(u_t - \bar{u}_t) + \rho_t.$$
(PC)

In words:

- PC describes how inflation evolves over time as a function of short-run output; or
- how inflation—conditional on last period's inflation—is positively related to short run unemployment gap.
- long run situation: zero fluctuations implies zero inflation change.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_t &= 0 \Longleftrightarrow \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} = \bar{\pi} \\ &\Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t = 0. \end{split}$$



э

...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega \tilde{u}_t + \rho_t. \tag{PC}$$

In words:

- If unemployment gap is above potential ($\tilde{u}_t > 0$), prices rise more slowly than long run rate ($\Delta \pi_t < 0$).
- If unemployment gap is below potential (ũ_t < 0), prices rise more rapidly than long run rate (Δπ_t > 0).



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega \tilde{u}_t + \rho_t. \tag{PC}$$

In words:

- If unemployment gap is above potential ($\tilde{u}_t > 0$), prices rise more slowly than long run rate ($\Delta \pi_t < 0$).
- If unemployment gap is below potential (ũ_t < 0), prices rise more rapidly than long run rate (Δπ_t > 0).



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油
...with adaptive expectations

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega \tilde{u}_t + \rho_t. \tag{PC}$$

Exercise

Sketch the graph of PC in (u, π) -space. What causes PC to shift? Explain. Also sketch the long run PC. What shifts the long run PC?



(1)



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Remember what the data says?



- Firm's demand for labor, and pricing decisions, are driven by the aggregate demand for their output.
- So when aggregate demand goes up, output goes up, firms demand more workers, and unemployment falls in the short run.
- In the data, this is capture by a statistical relation called Okun's law:

$$u_t - \bar{u}_t = -\frac{1}{2}(Y_t - \bar{Y}_t).$$



э

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Firm's demand for labor, and pricing decisions, are driven by the aggregate demand for their output.
- So when aggregate demand goes up, output goes up, firms demand more workers, and unemployment falls in the short run.
- In the data, this is capture by a statistical relation called Okun's law:

$$u_t - \bar{u}_t = -\frac{1}{2}(Y_t - \bar{Y}_t).$$



э

・ロト ・ 『 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Firm's demand for labor, and pricing decisions, are driven by the aggregate demand for their output.
- So when aggregate demand goes up, output goes up, firms demand more workers, and unemployment falls in the short run.
- In the data, this is capture by a statistical relation called Okun's law:

$$u_t - \bar{u}_t = -\frac{1}{2}(Y_t - \bar{Y}_t).$$



イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日





・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

• Also ... Remember we mentioned the micro story:

- firm's demand for workers (hence unemployment gap in the aggregate) was positively related to their pricing decision and thus inflation?
- Let $\gamma = \omega/2$. Piece all these together and get:





э

・ロト ・ 『 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Also ... Remember we mentioned the micro story:
 - firm's demand for workers (hence unemployment gap in the aggregate) was positively related to their pricing decision and thus inflation?
- Let $\gamma = \omega/2$. Piece all these together and get:





イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Also ... Remember we mentioned the micro story:
 - firm's demand for workers (hence unemployment gap in the aggregate) was positively related to their pricing decision and thus inflation?
- Let $\gamma = \omega/2$. Piece all these together and get:





・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

AS curve



Exercise

Sketch the graph of AS in (Y, π) -space. What causes AS to shift? Explain. Also sketch the long run AS. What can shift the long run AS?



(日)

AS curve





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > ... □ :

AS curve and Reality



Remember what the data suggests?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

- Price shocks to an input in production:

 - cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :
 - $\bullet \quad \tilde{Y}_t \geqq 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \geqq 0$
 - demand pull inflation



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

• Price shocks to an input in production:

$$\ \rho \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$$

- cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :
 - $\bullet \ \tilde{Y}_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$
 - demand pull inflation



Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

• Price shocks to an input in production:

$$\ \, \rho \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$$

- cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :
 - $\tilde{Y}_t \gtrless 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \gtrless 0$
 - demand pull inflation



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

• Price shocks to an input in production:

$$\ \rho \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$$

- cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :
 - $\tilde{Y}_t \gtrless 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \gtrless 0$ • demand pull inflation



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

• Price shocks to an input in production:

$$\rho \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$$

- cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :

•
$$\tilde{Y}_t \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \geq 0$$

demand pull inflation



э

・ロト ・ 『 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Cost-push and demand-pull inflation

So what makes inflation grow in this model?

• Price shocks to an input in production:

$$\rho \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \stackrel{>}{\underset{<}{=}} 0$$

- cost push inflation
- Short run output fluctuation \tilde{Y}_t :

•
$$\tilde{Y}_t \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \Delta \pi_t \geq 0$$

demand pull inflation



э

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

PC and Quantity Theory of Money



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

and the Quantity Theory of Money

- QTM: An increase in the growth rate of real GDP would reduce inflation.
- PC seems to say a booming economy causes the rate of inflation to increase.
- Which one is correct?



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

and the Quantity Theory of Money

- QTM: An increase in the growth rate of real GDP would reduce inflation.
- PC seems to say a booming economy causes the rate of inflation to increase.
- Which one is correct?



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

and the Quantity Theory of Money

- QTM: An increase in the growth rate of real GDP would reduce inflation.
- PC seems to say a booming economy causes the rate of inflation to increase.
- Which one is correct?



and the Quantity Theory of Money

The quantity theory

- Long-run model
- An increase in real GDP reflects an increase in the supply of goods, which lowers prices.

The Phillips curve

- Part of our short-run model
- An increase in short-run output reflects an increase in the demand for goods.



э

ヘロト 人間ト 人間ト 人間ト

and the Quantity Theory of Money

The quantity theory

- Long-run model
- An increase in real GDP reflects an increase in the supply of goods, which lowers prices.

The Phillips curve

- Part of our short-run model
- An increase in short-run output reflects an increase in the demand for goods.



э

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

and the Quantity Theory of Money

The quantity theory

- Long-run model
- An increase in real GDP reflects an increase in the supply of goods, which lowers prices.

The Phillips curve

- Part of our short-run model
- An increase in short-run output reflects an increase in the demand for goods.



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

and the Quantity Theory of Money

The quantity theory

- Long-run model
- An increase in real GDP reflects an increase in the supply of goods, which lowers prices.

The Phillips curve

- Part of our short-run model
- An increase in short-run output reflects an increase in the demand for goods.



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

and the Quantity Theory of Money

So this model implicit says:

- In the short run we are Keynesian
- In the long run we are dichotomously Monetarist and Neoclassical



3

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

and the Quantity Theory of Money

So this model implicit says:

- In the short run we are Keynesian
- In the long run we are dichotomously Monetarist and Neoclassical



3

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - ★ price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



(日)

- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - ★ price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - * price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - ★ inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - ★ price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - * current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - ★ price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.



人口 医水黄 医水黄 医水黄素 化甘油

- We have provided a story for how short run inflation is related to short run output fluctuation.
 - In the short run, firms production are constrained to meet aggregate demand
 - firm's ability to set prices requires us to model pricing behaviour
 - pricing behavior takes into account
 - inflation expectation;
 - current aggregate demand (output) fluctuations; and
 - price shocks (e.g. energy prices)

basis for our PC model.


- We will next study the third and last component: MP curve
 Together: IS-PC-MP
 - applications to historical episodes
 - experiments with alternative shock/policy scenarios



æ

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- We will next study the third and last component: MP curve
 Together: IS-PC-MP
 - applications to historical episodes
 - experiments with alternative shock/policy scenarios



3

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨト

- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ We will next study the third and last component: MP curve
- Together: IS-PC-MP
 - applications to historical episodes
 - experiments with alternative shock/policy scenarios



3

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨト

- We will next study the third and last component: MP curve
- Together: IS-PC-MP
 - applications to historical episodes
 - experiments with alternative shock/policy scenarios



3

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

... with your loved ones over dinner tonight

Key words:

- economic shocks
- Phillips curve
- expectations formation; adaptive expectations model
- cost-push, demand-pull inflation
- firms: pricing behaviour; aggregate demand conditions



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

... with your loved ones over dinner tonight

Key words:

- economic shocks
- Phillips curve
- expectations formation; adaptive expectations model
- cost-push, demand-pull inflation
- firms: pricing behaviour; aggregate demand conditions



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

... with your loved ones over dinner tonight

Key words:

- economic shocks
- Phillips curve
- expectations formation; adaptive expectations model
- cost-push, demand-pull inflation
- firms: pricing behaviour; aggregate demand conditions



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

... with your loved ones over dinner tonight

Key words:

- economic shocks
- Phillips curve
- expectations formation; adaptive expectations model
- cost-push, demand-pull inflation
- firms: pricing behaviour; aggregate demand conditions



... with your loved ones over dinner tonight

Key words:

- economic shocks
- Phillips curve
- expectations formation; adaptive expectations model
- cost-push, demand-pull inflation
- firms: pricing behaviour; aggregate demand conditions

